## United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

2007-1153 (Opposition No. 91/160, 119)

ANDREA FISCHER,

Appellant,

٧.

QUAD INT'L INCORPORATED,

Appellee.

# Judgment

ON APPEAL from the UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE, TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

in CASE NO(S).

This CAUSE having been heard and considered, it is

ORDERED and ADJUDGED: AFFIRMED

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT

DATED 0CT 1 0 2007

Jan Horbaly, Clerk

ISSUED AS A MANDATE: DEC 0 3 2007

NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

#### United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

2007-1153 (Opposition No. 91/160,119)

ANDREA FISCHER,

Appellant,

٧.

QUAD INT'L INCORPORATED,

Appellee.

<u>Daniel J. Kessler</u>, Burkhalter Kessler Goodman & George LLP, of Irvine, California, for appellant. Of counsel on the brief was <u>Rosamund M. Lockwood</u>.

Eric H. Weisblatt, Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney P.C., of Alexandria, Virginia, for appellee. On the brief was <u>S. Lloyd Smith</u>.

Appealed from:

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Trademark Trial & Appeals Board

Note: This disposition is nonprecedential.

## United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

2007-1153 (Opposition No.91/160, 119)

ANDREA FISCHER,

Appellant,

٧

QUAD INT'L INCORPORATED,

Appellee.

DECIDED: October 10, 2007

Before SCHALL, BRYSON, and MOORE, <u>Circuit Judges</u>. SCHALL, <u>Circuit Judge</u>.

#### **DECISION**

Andrea Fischer appeals the August 3, 2006 decision of the United States Patent and Trademark Office's Trademark Trial and Appeal Board ("TTAB" or "Board") entering judgment against her in the matter of her application for registration of the mark CHLOE VEVRIER. Fischer v. Quad Int'l, Inc., Opposition No. 91160119 (TTAB Aug. 3, 2006) ("Relief Decision"). We affirm.

## SOLICITOR

DEC - 5 2007

U.S. PATENT & TRADEMARX OFFICE

#### DISCUSSION

1.

Ms. Fischer filed her application for registration of the mark CHLOE VEVRIER on May 19, 2003. Subsequently, Thomas Anderson and Appellee Quad International, Incorporated ("Quad") both filed oppositions to the application. On August 10, 2005, in the Anderson opposition proceeding, the Board granted Anderson's motion for judgment on the pleadings, thereby (i) sustaining Anderson's opposition and (ii) refusing registration of the mark. <u>Fischer v. Anderson</u>, Opposition No. 91161452 (TTAB Aug. 10, 2005). On August 3, 2006, the Board denied Ms. Fischer's motion, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b), for relief from judgment. <u>Fischer v. Anderson</u>, Opposition No. 91161452 (TTAB Aug. 3, 2006). Today, in <u>Fischer v. Anderson</u>, No. 2007-1152 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 10, 2007) ("Anderson"), we have affirmed the Board's denial of Ms. Fischer's motion for relief from judgment in the Anderson opposition.

On November 22, 2005, the Board issued an order in the Quad opposition directing Ms. Fischer to show cause why judgment should not be entered against her based upon the August 10, 2005 order granting judgment on the pleadings in the Anderson opposition. Fischer v. Quad Int'l, Inc., Opposition No. 91160119 (TTAB Nov. 22, 2006). On August 3, 2006, having found her response to the November 22 order insufficient, the Board entered judgment against Ms. Fischer in the Quad opposition based upon its ruling that same day denying her motion for relief from judgment in the Fischer opposition. Relief Decision. This appeal followed. We have jurisdiction over Ms. Fischer's appeal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1295(a)(4)(B).

In this appeal, Ms. Fischer has not advanced any arguments different from those presented to us in her appeal of the Board's decision in the Anderson opposition. She thus recognizes that the result in this appeal is controlled by our disposition of that appeal. As noted above, we have today affirmed the decision of the Board in the Anderson opposition. Therefore, based upon our decision today in <u>Anderson</u>, we now affirm the decision of the Board in the Quad opposition.

Each party shall bear its own costs.

CERTIFIED COPY

HEREBY CERTIFY THIS DOCUMENT

IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY

OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

OF APPEALS

OF APPEALS